Friday, 11 November

Morning Co-chair: Peter Kanowski

8:30 Summary of Day 1- Joseph Lawson
  Breakout instruction- Peter Kanowski

9:00 Breakout Group: Possible Starting Points for Dialogue

10:30 Break

10:45 Breakout Group Report Back

12:00 Summary by Co-chairs- Peter Kanowski
  Moving Forward – Gary Dunning

12:15 Adjourn
Points of broad agreement – session 2

• Overarching framework of social [benefit/ cost]; scope is ‘forests’ in wider contexts (eg development, landscapes/ ecosystems, sustainability)
• Focus on GM trees, within broader context of landscapes
• Major knowledge gaps need to be addressed
• Need for comprehensive/ transparent impact & risk assessment processes
• Engagement of more constituencies & geographies
A possible discussion structure for today

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Overarching themes</th>
<th>From the paper</th>
<th>From the dialogue</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>• Social benefits &amp; costs of GM trees ‘packages’</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Forests in the contexts of emerging global pressures, &amp; their local manifestations</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dialogue emphasis</td>
<td>From the paper</td>
<td>From the dialogue</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GM trees in society</td>
<td>Values</td>
<td>As above</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GM technologies in trees</td>
<td>Benefits &amp; risks</td>
<td>• Why, alternatives?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• Knowledge &amp; understanding, including gaps</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Assessment &amp; regulatory processes &amp; standards</td>
<td>Convergence, divergence,</td>
<td>• Developing comprehensive frameworks &amp; processes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>capacity</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
A possible discussion structure for today

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Overarching themes</th>
<th>From the paper</th>
<th>From the dialogue</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>• Social benefits &amp; costs of GM trees ‘packages’</td>
<td>Values</td>
<td>As above</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Forests in the contexts of emerging global pressures, &amp; their local manifestations</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Dialogue emphasis</th>
<th>From the paper</th>
<th>From the dialogue</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>GM trees in society</td>
<td>Values</td>
<td>Why, alternatives?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GM technologies in trees</td>
<td>Benefits &amp; risks</td>
<td>Knowledge &amp; understanding, including gaps</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Assessment &amp; regulatory processes &amp; standards</td>
<td>Convergence, divergence, capacity</td>
<td>Developing comprehensive frameworks &amp; processes</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

To think about:
What might be a next TFD step?
– an “informing dialogue” to address issues that emerge from the above?