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Three issues
Broad agreement to big ideas?
Specific edits?
Other big ideas?

Joe – too complex and also too simple
  - Need references to comments
  - IPAM, CIFOR, ODI funding on readiness grant performance based

**Target Audience, what would success look like?**

  - Governments behind the negotiators
  - Blocks within the governments
  - EU, Nordic, RFC, forest 11, key groups, G77, Brazil,
  - Brazil not interested in market mechanism (Bali), more open in Bonn
  - Bonn about methodologies
  - Forest nations more on the degradation side (India, China)
  - Countries not yet deforesting… in classic redd.

TFD doesn’t influence, it builds a common platform

Need to define SFM more specifically – and need to be careful about the term in the way that it is being used by climate change community.
Countries fighting for formula that gets them the most credits… SFM used in this

Want to have working forests in but only in so far as it actually does something… quantifiable

Can’t just look at the land.

Last FCPF new info memo, June 13. (section 4.3) List in the middle of emission reduction programs, from forest regulations to land impact, agroforestry, countries can decide what they choose. Broadest statement to include different forest types and activities.
Redux – leave legal, equitable, and sustainable.

Some who will read, may misinterpret what it means. (Private conservation, public, etc).

International community already has agreed on forest conservation. Forest must be part of

Legal, equitable, conservation and production forests. Clarity on phrase then use consistently.

Rewrite: 4. Recognize the potential contribution of forests on all healthy forests…
4. Actual potential contribution of healthy forests.
4 c. When it comes from sustainable sources?

Brazil – not all forest products

Need to clarify on the specific mechanism … maybe it is about something about payment for standing forests.

Idea of notional deforestation?

REDD vision or bigger vision

Can we talk about an integrated approach without talking about the specific mechanisms.

REDD thin edge of the wedge…

Barbara thought:
Large goal Forest used of their best and more appropriate use. History shows that a single approach to a multifaceted asset is never good.

So : Several audience, and need to be clear which one we are speaking to…

What is TFD really trying to do? Can we be a conscious or conduit for information?
Can we bring more people into this group.

Environmental Services.

Large value to large standing forests.

Can`t do what you want to do without us.

Over arching
A large overarching goal is a healthy planet, and therefore healthy forest.
If you did it without us it will be it will be marginal is ephermal

If you want REDD need significant investment over the baseline on forest mapping, ownership etc.

For the first time we

FLEG and FLEGT are milestones on the road to REDD?
Upfront step
First period, fund based activities to get ready for REDD?

To much information…
Crafting a message for negotiators? Or should we develop this group as the conscious of the discussions?

Engaging people on the ground, sometimes language is too high.
Indigenous people and marginal people all through out the document, or in one point specifically.

Another big idea – while this mechanism focus on one aspect, that it should be linked, recommend that any mechanism link to other

Governance, right, etc., is REDD readiness … fund readiness to prepare for REDD.

Those forestry things that you to

Fleg in Liberia, focus on the domestic side. Small scale, livelihood and social
VPAs as a framework for readiness?, entrance into REDD mechanism model. Triggers.

Premium for multiple value ….

Degraded lands still valuable for biodiversity?

Multivalue should mean some type of sustainable forestry standard…Assisted regeneration

3 or four main points

Endorsement adding:
SFM both protection and production
De jargonize.
Several target groups … influencing the country people behind the negociators.
Conservation, media, people on the ground, governments
How to get specific about standing forest stocks
Existing programs like FLEG and Lacey act are already readiness – beefed up not put aside,
Adaptation, cobenefits as an asset… long
Paragraph 4 misleading more than
Whatever mechanism looks like will need much financial incentives