REDD+ Benefit Sharing Dialogue

Break out session Day 2.

Brainstorm solutions for Key Issues at Project, National and International Levels.
Group 1.
Group I

1. Costs
   a. Diff SH at diff levels – how do they see costs; at what stages are costs incurred; where do the diff OCs, trans and operational costs lie?
   b. Need to track costs - transparency

2. Communicating the role of REDD+
   a. Local level clarity on what is needed
   b. National level involving the diff sectors

3. How can REDD+ be achieved through productive activities
   a. What packages of benefits are needed to stimulate these activities
   b. Defining activities will improve the sustainability of REDD+ and beyond REDD+ financing (i.e. donor funds) by providing wider community benefits
   c. Role of the private…. 

4. Role of the private sector
   a. Benefits through value-adding to existing supply chains?
   b. Finding areas of commonality to achieve win-wins for business and REDD+
   c. First step - mapping key private sector SH & roles (involved in activities; financiers; etc.)
5. **What are the existing national forest programs, and where does REDD+ fit in?**
   
   a. How do they deal with BSM?
   b. How do they define equity?
   c. What is the existing capacity to deal with REDD+?
   d. Social and economic context that helps us to understand what will work

6. **Method for consultation and involvement of actors in BSM design**
   
   a. E.g. choice experiments

7. **How will the BSM link back to the MRV?**
   
   a. Proxy measures - how these would link to MRV
   b. Goes back to sequencing ideas and timeframe arguments which will be country-specific
   c. What is the type of conditionality that can be achieved at the local level, without introducing cost prohibitive measures (i.e. strict MRV compliance)
Group 2.
Participatory process

- Ownership rights
  - Women
- What does it mean to have a pp that is legitimate?
- How to ensure inclusion of marginalized / vulnerable groups
  - Language issues
- Effects (negative) of setting a pp linked to BSM
- Needs of external mechanism / tools
- As a cross cutting aspect
FPIC

- Not exclusive for BS but in REED discussions in general
- Principle? Tool? Policy level? right?
- How to up scale participatory processes – FPIC – that allows / facilitates discussion on BS
  - Issue of representation
- Is not only about participation but about consent
Capacity Building

- For stakeholders to: participate, negotiate, decide, strengthen governance
- Conflict resolution
- Clarify type, size and timing of BS (expectations)
Benefit sharing

- At what level, at what point in time and with who should discussions about BS take place
- Accounting mechanism for participation
- How to ensure horizontal and vertical BS
- Link BS with the phase approach of REDD
  - A way to manage expectations
  - Highlight other benefits could be materialized before performance payment
- The more concrete the benefit, the more clarity about who should participate
- CBA before discussing BS
  - Clarifying when to include transaction costs / if decisions are not yet made
- Connection between safeguards and benefits
- Permanence / sustainability of BSM
Expectations

- About what REDD could bring and therefore distribute
- If expectations are not met, we can see a reaction against REDD
- Communicating about different types of benefits
Framework

- Governance, transparency
- Feedback mechanism - Reporting back to communities
- Grievance mechanism
- Monitoring & Auditing BS distribution
OTHER IDEAS

- Analyze existing BSM or PES in the country
  - Learn it on the field visit or
  - Include it in the background paper
- How to prioritize the use of BS funds
- How are BS used and analyze the potential impacts about the final use of those resources
Group 3

• WHAT ARE THE Key Issues WE NEED TO FOCUS on AT FIELD DIALOGUEs
Orientation

- “Solutions” – how are things handled
- Lessons
Cross cutting

- Institutional arrangements— authority, roles, what already exists

- Expectations (managing these, role of communication and more)

- Effectiveness and Legitimacy of representation of all stakeholder – in overall dialogue in country
  - Recognition of traditional authorities
  - Selection process of representatives

- Contradictory policies, e.g. agriculture vs environment
  - Has raising REDD discourse to higher level helped? (e.g. President’s office)
Cross cutting (cont.)

MRV and how are the REAL drivers of deforestation understood (not over simplifying)

Learning from – integrated PES and development programs

How are issues related to land tenure + governance being handled
  ◦ Eg. corruption/transparency

Communication:
  • How are things being communicated?
    For example:
      ◦ Is it making it more tangible for local level
      ◦ Are we distinguishing between project and jurisdictional?
Cross cutting (cont.)

- Linkages between national and local (how will it work in the future)
  - How are local voices heard in national processes
  - What platforms exist (or need to be created) to facilitate these processes

- Understanding the costs at all levels

- Link between MRV and who gets paid?
Community Level

- What is already being done in terms of planning, sharing
- What type of engagement is happening vs what engagement communities want
- Who is engaged/equity in benefits sharing
- Impact of benefits being shared
- What lessons are being drawn from previous-existing arrangements
  - Does where the money comes from matter?