

2nd Dialogue on REDD Finance Mechanisms

The Forests Dialogue
19-20 June 2009
Montreux, Switzerland



Updates

- New York dialogue (April 25/26th)
 - Co-Chairs summary
 - Stewart Maginnis
- Status of negotiations on REDD financing
 - Working paper “Financing mechanism for forest mitigation options”
 - Jürgen Blaser



New York Co-chairs Summary

Six stakeholder groups considered:

- Scope?
- Revenue raising
- Revenue disbursement
- Benefit sharing
- Participation

Conclusions

1. IP concerns on conflict between de facto traditional and de jure land rights:- FPIC.
2. Several groups concerned on benefit sharing (practical and ethical)
3. Concerns over procedures for baseline establishment & what this means for finance





Conclusions

4. Reaffirmed that mechanism should extend to REDD + activities
5. Acceptance that probably a portfolio of funds and markets
6. Better coordination of current bilateral and multi-lateral efforts
7. Reiterated urgency and need for clarity from forest community.



Consensus

- REDD + measures need to be harmonised with SFM policy framework
- Phased approach (OAR) is a useful framework
- Need to agree on triggers to move from one phase to next (but did not agree on what the trigger were)
- No single blueprint – countries need space to move from Readiness to PAMs to emissions reduction
- Flexibility on intervention level – recognition if not full endorsement of nested approach
- Need for benefits to reach down to ground level





Fracture lines

- International prioritization for allocation (immediate performance – or biggest potential)
- Sub-national distributional
- Need for explicit social and environmental safeguards (esp FPIC)
- Historical legacies (moral hazard of rewarding bad past practice only)
- Role of government (facilitator, broker, regulator, arbitrator, beneficiary?)