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Today’s program

1. CoChairs’ summary
2. Participants’ reflections on summary
3. CoChairs’ proposal for session 3
   – [break? (= food, chat …)]
   – [break (= food, chat …)]
5. Dialogue Finale
   – [end (= food, drink, chat, more meetings …)]
1. Background paper suggested possible foci for dialogue

- IMPF in context – responding to drivers
- Scope & definitions
- Governance systems
- Implementing a landscape approach
- Sharing benefits & costs equitably
- Technologies & sustainability
- (Models of IMPF based development)

(TFD only where comparative advantage)
2. Priority topics proposed by breakouts
Group 1

• Identify key externalities/gaps (+&-) in a multi-stakeholder environment
  • more Dialogues flow of information across scales

• Forest projects becoming development (social forestry)(home grown solutions)
  • Small holders participation (shared value) and organisation

• Diversification new technology (new species, biotechnology, new uses)

• Restoration (degraded land)

• Natural capital
Group 2: Vision for dialogue

• Dialogue requires long-term vision to influence policy making / regulation

• Global frameworks such as: Sustainable Development Goals
Group 2 Framework and Priorities

Principal framework for discussion

• Global Development Agenda/Needs
  • Recognizing global mega-trends such as:

Priorities

1) Inclusive development/business models
  • Smallholders / Women / Youth / SMEs

2) Landscapes meeting multiple demands / Productive landscapes – IMPFs as part of these landscapes
  • Forest Continuum – role of various types of forests/planted forests (incl. native species) meeting different needs
  • Changing demand dynamics/patterns changes the global/local conflict on IMPFs (→ localized demand/localized production)

SubPriorities

• Access to technology / know-how / capacity / markets
• Low-carbon / Bio-economy (→ Alternatives to fossil-fuel economy)
• Tenure / Governance
  • Fundamental for effective benefit sharing
1. Discussion on status of “plantations” in terms of reporting on deforestation and forest cover.

2. Landscape approach -- integration of forestry and agriculture –

3. Restoration agenda and plantations

4. Net positive impacts and externalities:
   - Define: carbon, water, biodiversity, social services –
   - Monitoring challenges / + valuation of externalities. Costs and benefit for whom?

5. Enabling good governance: active role of governments in ensuring rights and responsible forestry

6. Recognition of local communities/rights holders in land and forest use decisions.
2. Priority topics proposed by breakouts

1. Framing dialogue about IMPF in context of global development agenda (eg SDG) & megatrends
   - definition of and vision for ‘IMPF’

2. Design/ implement IMPF in the context of a landscape approach – integrating forestry & agriculture, meeting multiple demands through sustainable productive landscapes
   - Explore the contribution of IMPF to landscape restoration
2. Priority topics proposed by breakouts

3. Frame IMPF ‘projects’ as vehicles for inclusive development/ business models (Smallholders / Women / Youth / SMEs)

4. Identify key externalities/gaps (+&-) in a multi-stakeholder environment; net positive impacts and externalities as criterion
   - Define (natural & social capital): carbon, water, biodiversity, social services –
   - Monitoring challenges / + valuation of externalities. Costs and benefit for whom?
2. Priority topics proposed by breakouts

5. Enable good governance, including recognition of rights holders (Indigenous Peoples, local communities, smallholders) in decision processes
   ▪ Promote flow of information across scales

6. Diversification of & access to new technologies (eg species, biotech, uses – in context of bioeconomy)
3. Priority topics mapped to actors/ process

1. IMPF dialogue in global development context; definition & vision [7.1, 7.2]
2. Design/ implement IMPF in the context of a landscape approach, including IMPF contribution to landscape restoration [7.4]
3. IMPF as vehicle for inclusive development [7.5]
4. Assess IMPF externalities/ net positive impact
5. Enable good governance, including recognition of rights holders; information flow across scales [7.3]
6. Diversification of & access to new technologies [7.6]
2. Priority topics proposed by breakouts

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Clusters of activity</th>
<th>eg…</th>
<th>Certifiers</th>
<th>NGPP</th>
<th>Company</th>
<th>etc …</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1 Dialogue in development context</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Landscape approach &amp; integration</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Inclusive development</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Externalities &amp; net impact</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Governance &amp; rights</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. New technologies</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
4. Possible entry points for TFD

- ‘rate’ actor/process engagement with priority topics
  - matrix of possible entry points

- breakout groups assign priorities to possible entry points, elaborating on ‘action orientation’

- plenary reporting & triangulation from ‘stakeholder’ perspectives