Welcome to the Field Dialogue on REDD+ Benefit Sharing in Peru

24-28 February 2014
San Martin and Lima, Peru
Today’s Program

**Morning Chair: Gustavo Suarez de Freitas**

08:00 Opening, Welcome Address & Participant Introduction

08:30 International Context of REDD+ Benefit Sharing:
- The Forests Dialogue’s REDD+ BS Initiative – Gary Dunning and Xiaoting Hou
- Setting the Framework: National vs. Project Level REDD+ - Patrick Wylie
- Chair reflections on the field trip
- Discussion

09:40 REDD+ Benefit Sharing in Peru
- Background Paper Presentation
- Discussion

10:30 Coffee Break

11:00 Plenary Discussion: Key Challenges for Designing and Implementing REDD+ BS in Peru

12:30 Lunch

**Afternoon Chair: Doris Cordero**

13:30 Breakout Group Session 1: Stakeholder Perspectives on key challenges in designing and implementing benefit sharing in Peru

15:30 Break

16:00 Breakout group reports and plenary discussions

17:00 Adjourn for the day
TFD & the REDD+ Initiatives

Gary Dunning and Xiaoting Hou
The Forests Dialogue

27 February 2014
Lima, Peru
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Dialogue Co-Chairs

Doris Cordero – IUCN
Hugo Che Piu – DAR
Claudio Schneider – CI
Gustavo Suárez de Freitas - MINAM
REDD+ Benefit Sharing Initiative

Global Partner

Initiative Support

Peru Dialogue Hosts
The Forests Dialogue

Purpose
- Established in 2000 by NGO and Business Leaders
- Reduce conflict among stakeholders in the forest sector
- International platform and process to discuss key SFM and conservation issues
- Build mutual trust, enhanced understanding and commitment to change

Structure
- Steering Committee
- Secretariat
- Network of Partners
How TFD Works
TFD Initiatives

Priority SFM Issues
• REDD+ Benefit Sharing
• Food, Fuel, Fiber and Forests
• Genetically Modified Trees
• Free, Prior, and Informed Consent
• Investing in Locally Controlled Forestry
• Forests & Poverty Reduction and Rural Livelihoods
• Intensively Managed Planted Forests
• Illegal Logging and Forest Governance
• Forests and Biodiversity Conservation
• Forest Certification
TFD’s Process

TFD Operates under the Chatham House Rule

“Participants are free to use the information received, but neither the identity nor the affiliation of the speaker(s), nor that of any other participant, may be revealed”.

Ground Rules

• Use mobiles and computers during breaks
• No video
• Pictures OK
• Use Name Tents
TFD’s Process

Spirit of Participation
• Active listening
• Constructive, solution oriented interventions
• Participate as individual
• Help define and own the outcomes
• Facilitation “light” / Co-Chair’s roles
TFDs Forest and Climate Change Related Initiatives

Forest & Climate Change: 275 Leaders
Financing REDD+: 100 Stakeholders
REDD+ Readiness: 240 Stakeholders

Brazil; Ghana; Guatemala; Ecuador; Cambodia

2007
2008
2009
2010
2011

UNFCCC

Bali: RED
Co-benefits/safeguard will “derail” RED

Poznan:
REDD

Copenhagen:
REDD+
Consensus on principles and safeguards
Phased approach

Cancun:
Ratification of REDD+
Guidance and safeguards for REDD + (in ANNEX 1)

Durban:
Market and non-market mechanisms may be both appropriate

Other Multilateral Mechanisms

FCPF
UNREDD
FIP

REDD+ Partnership:
75 countries
FCPF: 37
UNREDD: 44
FIP: 8
REDD+ Readiness Key Findings

- Establishment of a revenue and benefits distribution system
- Access to, use and availability of information and its use in capacity-building
- Effectiveness of multi-stakeholder participation and engagement mechanisms and processes
- Reform of policy and legislative frameworks, particularly those on tree and carbon rights
- Integration of REDD-plus policies with broader land-use plans and other sector and development plans
REDD+ Benefit Sharing Initiative

Global Partner

Initiative Support
Objectives of REDD+ Benefit Sharing Initiative

• **Build a community of practice** among locally-rooted, well connected REDD practitioners to share experiences and to develop practical tools that support effective, efficient and equitable benefit sharing for REDD+;

• **Analyze the current state** of REDD+ Benefit Sharing in several countries and **identify the challenges and opportunities** of designing and implementing those mechanisms more broadly;

• **Promote appropriate economic, policy and institutional arrangements** at local, national and international levels to facilitate equitable and efficient delivery of REDD+ Benefit Sharing mechanisms.
REDD+ Benefit Sharing to Date

- **Advisors**: 16 experts representing communities, IP, gender experts, IGO, private sector, NGOs
- **23-24 March 2013** – Washington, DC

24-27 September, 2013 – Lam Dong, Vietnam

2-5 December, 2013 – Elmina, Ghana
Key Issues(1)

What can be done in the immediate term to identify and work with beneficiaries when rights are unclear/or land right system is complicated?

Vietnam:
- National Level - Set priorities to guide identification (e.g. poverty reduction)
- Sub-national level – multi-stakeholder platform to allow open discussions to identify beneficiaries based on local context

Ghana:
- Recognize existing customary system
- Strengthen capacity to mediate conflicts and negotiate benefits sharing
- Use lower-level legal instruments, e.g. documented ministerial documents, contracts

Ghana & Vietnam:
- Holistic devolution of natural resource management rights to communities
Key Issues(2)

How to design national programs on REDD+ Benefit Sharing that accommodate different local context?

Vietnam:
• Recognize the differences and linkage between project-level and national-level approaches

• Design a macro framework under clear societal goals at national-level to guide participatory design and implementation of Benefit Sharing based on sub-national contexts

Ghana & Vietnam

• Ensure transparency and free access to information -- feedback/grievance mechanisms
How to use multiple benefits to incentivize stakeholders at different levels and in different phases of REDD+?

Vietnam:
• Identify multiple benefits that can be used to incentivize stakeholders
• Build cross-sectoral collaboration and invest in sustainable land-use planning to maximize the benefits from forest landscapes

Ghana:
• Different stakeholders have different interpretations of cash/non-cash benefits but desire multiple benefits from REDD+
• Link short-term and long-term benefits: community desire improved livelihood; private sector: profit.
• Provide education to make stakeholders value ecosystem services benefits
• Design benefits based on cultural and spiritual values
• Consider trade-offs among different benefits among different stakeholder groups
Mapping Incentives for Different Landscapes

- Protected Primary Forest
- Secondary forest
- Degraded Lands
- Plantations
- Secondary forest
- Permanent pasture
- Degraded Primary Forest
- Permanent pasture
- Intensive agriculture land
- Permanent pasture
Key Issues (4)

How to actively involve private sector in designing and implementing REDD+ Benefits Sharing?

Ghana:

• Recognize different types of private sector may need different incentives to engage

• Building the business case for private sector

• Build private and public sector partnership
On what basis can benefits be shared?

Vietnam:

• Different mechanisms apply at different levels and through different phases of REDD+

• Leverage multiple benefits and emphasis non-cash benefits at sub-national level

• Simplified messages and approaches at local level
How to reduce the costs of implementing REDD+?

Vietnam:

• Build on existing programs where appropriate

• Invest in sustainable forest landscape management in ways that can generate income while reducing deforestation and degradation
Key Next Steps in Vietnam & Ghana

- Identify the bundle of benefits that can be used to incentivize stakeholders:
- Strengthen community forestry:
- Study and learn from existing mechanisms beyond PFES:
- Strengthen existing multi-stakeholder platforms for information exchange and discussions on REDD+ Benefit Sharing among different stakeholder groups including government, NGOs, private sector and communities:
- Institutionalize recourse and grievance procedures.
- ....

Key Next Steps in Peru
Thanks!

TFD Documents and Publications
Available electronically at:
www.theforestsdialogue.org

Follow us on Twitter: @forestsdialogue
Like us on Facebook: the forests dialogue

The Forests Dialogue Secretariat
Yale University
New Haven, CT, USA
+1 203 432 5966
tfd@yale.edu
www.theforestsdialogue.org