

### Key issues emerging from UDF Scoping Dialogue day #1

- Is it the best approach to focus on reducing deforestation, or would we be better served rewarding sustainable land management?
- Should there be an exclusive focus on deforestation, or should deforestation-free approaches form part of a broader landscape approach?
- How effective can a deforestation-approach, with its focus on international supply chains, be in combatting deforestation?
- Should deforestation-free objectives take a strict approach, or should they mean that no unacceptable deforestation is permitted? If the latter, what is unacceptable deforestation?
- Who is involved in tailoring the global concept of deforestation-free to the local context, and how should they go about it?
- How can deforestation-free commitments be integrated with other approaches to combat deforestation (e.g., certification, REDD+)
- How can governmental deforestation-free targets and private sectors targets be reconciled?
- What can we learn from the issues encountered by the experience with illegal logging regulation and the responses to it in terms of how to go about implementing deforestation-free commitments?
- How can stakeholder participation be better included in the formulation and implementation of deforestation-free commitments, and what sorts of systems could best address stakeholder grievances?
- Should we plan a field dialogue, where should it be held, and what should it look like?
- How can we most effectively communicate what we have discussed and the outputs of this dialogue?