The Forests Dialogue Field Trip Objectives

24-25 September, Lam Dong, Vietnam

Below is a list of key issues that we hope can guide your thinking through our field trip in Lam Dong, Vietnam and help you link the field trip observations with the latter discussions in Dalat. It is not an exhaustive list and is only meant to be a reference for you to develop more focused questions that can benefit our broader discussion later on REDD+ Benefit Sharing design and implementation.

Who has ownership rights over the forest land? Who has user rights of the forest? Who has the rights over the carbon in the forest?

Under Payments for Forests Ecosystem Services (PFES) implemented in Lam Dong:

- What are the key activities carried out? How are the key decisions made around how PFES is implemented in Lam Dong?
- Who are the key beneficiaries? On what basis are the beneficiaries identified?
- What are the key benefits shared? Are there any non-monetary benefits? If so, what are the nonmonetary benefits?
- How are the monetary benefits distributed?
- On what basis are the benefits shared?
- What are the costs related to implementing PFES?

Under REDD+:

What has been done in Lam Dong? What are the planned activities? Who are the key stakeholders involved in designing REDD+? Who are the key stakeholders involved in implementing REDD+?

What are the opportunities in linking REDD+ Benefit Sharing design with PFES? What are the challenges? Considering the following key elements relevant to REDD+ Benefit Sharing:

- Who should be the key beneficiaries under REDD+? On what basis can the beneficiaries be identified under REDD+?
- What can be the key benefits (monetary and non-monetary) shared under REDD+?
- How can the monetary benefits be distributed under REDD+?
- On what basis can the benefits from REDD+ be shared?
- What are the costs related to designing and implementing REDD+?