Session II: Focus on the forest private sector and ecosystem restoration

BREAKOUT SESSION

SESSION II: BREAKOUT SESSION QUESTION

Opportunities and challenges related to the forest private sector in scaling up restoration:

- What are the expectations and motivations for forest private sector to scale up restoration?
- What are the limitations to forest private sector actions?



TFD Restoration Scoping Dialogue

BREAKOUT SESSION REPORT BACK

Expectations

- · Contextual elements are key → generalizations are hard
 - Who are we speaking about in relation to forest private sector
 - Geographic location
- · Role of government/nexus with government
 - Government as creating enabling environment
 - Regulatory env, Policy enabling, innovation,
 - Impact of governance can be enabling or inhibiting
- · Parity on resources and compliance
 - Different expectations from different actors, and different actors have different expectations put on them
 - o Restoration is really expensive, big investment of time and research
 - How to engage smaller local stakeholders meaningfully and for their benefit, when there are resource discrepancies with larger actors

Motivations

- Market demand for responsibly sourced products as a driver
- Social license, reputations
- Leadership on sustainability for comparative advantage, dependence of private sector on healthy ecosystems
- Credibility/transparency supported by technology development and certification structures as a way to demonstrate performance

Limitations

- Lack of presence of business case
- Lack of operational capacity at ground level to fully implement restoration goals
 - Need partnerships with those who know how and those who have capacity to implement
- Partnerships for restoration
 - Need for more inclusive, participatory partnerships, trust building
 - Need to engage other land users
- Lack of assurance for private sector that restoration efforts are lasting
- Difficult to adoptability of restoration strategies and initiatives across different stakeholder groups
 - Restoration is big time and financial investment links back to business case

Filter the forestry private sector (FPS) by:

- (1) size: large to small companies; and
- (2) actor: leader to lagger.

Expectations:

- Are societal expectations clear and agreed?
 - Expectations may differ locally to globally
- Fill the gap:
 - FPS, and private sector in general, should fill large gap left by public sector, civil society, and philanthropy
- Responsibility to Climate Change: past and present
 - SBTI signal what society expects
- Restitution linked to restoration
 - Footprints, historical and into the future
- Payment for Ecosystem Services

Opportunities:

- Risk mitigation and blended finance
- Research and Development on native species
 - o Improve genetics
- Existing and new partnership opportunities
 - o Especially with other sectors
- Place-based nature of the sector
 - o In touch with local realties and people
- Potential alignment of FPS with economy and society building

Limitations:

- Inclusion of Indigenous people and Women
 - o FPS does not know how to do this well
 - o Sector composition is heavily skewed
- Insufficient Incentives
 - o Lack of regulatory drive
 - o Limited liability
- Potential perverse outcomes
 - o Investing in restoration could incentives expansion unsustainably
- Risk aversion
 - o No one wants to be first mover or target of criticism
- Lack of transparency
 - o Undermines impact of restoration
- Poor governance
 - o Can undermine leadership and good actors
- Mismatch of earnings timelines and restoration timelines

GROUP 3 - Limitations

- Land tenure, access to rights this can create responsibility and pride.
- Alternative growth model = restoration doesn't pay as well as monoculture, so what other ways can we fund it, what other revenue streams?
 - More blended finance and instruments/vehicles. Overcome opportunity costs
- Short timeframe of projects and funding sources
- Weak law enforcement and legislation lacking in some areas to enforce the set asides

GROUP 3 - Motivations

- Place-based context
 - Pride, connection to land, social harmony in landscape, investing in rural economy, eradicating poverty
- Market driven, buyer led initiatives, corporate/investment motivation
- Value chain involving all parts of the value chain

GROUP 3 - Expectations

- Vision of future needs to match the stakeholders in the land needs to be culturally specific, locally determined
- We need to balance different land uses and take a blended approach
- Diverse revenue streams
- Increase value of restored land
- More innovative financial models to generate investment

GROUP 4:

Motivations:

- Legal requirements
- Compensation for degradation
- Carbon offsets
- Income from carbon
- Access to finance and markets
- Forest Certification
- Corporate Social Responsibility
- Reputation
- Enhancing ecosystems services
- Increasing productivity / Area
- Community engagement
- Risk Management
- Community Involvement
- Alignment with NDCs

Expectations:

- Transparency
- Sharing of practices across sectors
- Share Infrastructure
- Sustainability Economic, Environmental, Social
- Private Sector Pays, In Kind Subsidize Challenges

Limitations:

- Financing
- Undervaluing of Payments for Ecosystem Services
- Lack of knowledge what / how to plant and grow
- Lack of access to traditional knowledge
- Legal environment
- Poor governance

Challenges

- Improve Knowledge on restoration practices + scaling up
- Improve technologies and availability